EXECUTIVE DECISION

made by a Council Officer



REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN UNDER DELEGATED AUTHORITY BY AN INDIVIDUAL COUNCIL OFFICER

Executive Decision Reference Number - COD28 21/22

Decision								
I	Title of decision:							
	Central Park Ponds Project: Commitment to Contractor Procurement Route							
2	Decision maker (Council Officer name and job title):							
	Paul Barnard, Service Director for Strategic Planning and Infrastructure							
3	Report author and contact details:							
	Zoe Sydenham - Zoe.Sydenham@plymouth.gov.uk							
4a	Decision to be taken:							
	Commit to appointing South West Highways (SWH) via the Term Maintenance Contract (TMC) to construct the Central Park Ponds Project.							
4b	Reference number of original executive decision or date of original committee meeting where delegation was made: L27 21/22							
5	Reasons for decision:							

This decision is to confirm the Council's commitment to appointing SWH via the TMC in order to deliver the Central Park Ponds Project. A robust analysis of procurement options available to the Council has been undertaken, with the recommended route being to appoint SWH via the TMC, with the following benefits:

- Benefit of quicker route, engaging with contractor quickly meaning project is on target to be delivered within tight timeframes
- Capitalise on the collaborative working arrangements that the contractor has established with the Council's Highways department and other key stakeholders and partners
- Access to the competitive price list that was secured through the vigorous and robust tendering assessment that the Council carried out to award the TMC
- Local knowledge
- Co-ordination with other planned works on the local and strategic road networks
- Early contractor involvement to progress the design and identify value savings and reduce risks in both the design and construction stages
- Supports continuity of working between the Council and the contractor to help deliver improvements in performance over the term of the TMC
- Gives confidence to and supports the local economy, helping to safeguard existing jobs and create new ones

This project is a key element of the Central Park Improvements Programme. Approving this decision will demonstrate a commitment to spending the allocated funding and completing the project within the timeline of the Central Park Improvements Programme Phase 3 (finishing July 2023). The Council has already announced the plans to deliver this project and secured planning permission and external money to part fund the project.

This project will further develop the park to meet the aspirations set out in the Central Park Masterplan (adopted by the Council in March 2013 and approved by Cabinet on 12th March 2013) to build a 'premier park for the people of Plymouth and outstanding venue of regional and national significance for active recreation and formal sport, culture, art and the natural environment.' The Council has already announced the plans to deliver this project and secured planning permission.

The project will resolve localised drainage issues in the area of the park near Barn Park Road and deliver a betterment to the wider flood risk catchment and also benefit people and nature through improvements to access, amenity, recreation space and enhancing biodiversity. The project aims to:

- Implement a sustainable drainage system to reduce flooding, reduce the risk of pollution and CSO incidents and increase the capacity of highway drainage and combined sewers
- Improve access for park users and a section of the Plymouth Cycle Network
- Contribute to improved air quality, heat reduction and carbon sequestration
- Ensure Central Park meets the aspirations as the city's Flagship Park, bringing an increased audience to the park and supporting active lifestyles
- Increase biodiversity in the park to support connection to nature for health and wellbeing
- Create an exemplar nature-based solution, which could be replicated in other areas of the city

6 Alternative options considered and rejected:

- I. Use another procurement route The alternative options to employing the TMC would be to either carry out a formal (request for quotation) tendering exercise or use an appropriate framework. The Request For Quotation route was rejected due to no option for early contractor involvement and the process takes several months, so there is a high risk a contractor would not be appointed in time for the target project start date. The use of a framework was rejected because there were no suitable contractors to deliver the project. Both alternative options do not have all of the benefits use of the TMC offers.
- 2. Do nothing There is a risk that if PCC do not commit to appointing a contractor soon the project will miss the window to start delivery on time (currently scheduled for August 2022)-the window for delivering certain elements of the work is also restricted by ecological constraints- and this creates a risk that the project will not be complete within the timeframe of the Central Park Improvements Programme Phase 3 (ending July 2023). Further to this, due to current market conditions there are longer lead in times for certain materials and changing material costs will mean the overall project cost will continue to increase until the contractor confirms orders with suppliers. Doing nothing risks impacting the Council's reputation as the Council has already secured planning approval and announced the plans to deliver this project, so delaying will mean a continuance of flooding issues that impact park users and block access routes. This will in turn diminish the impact of the wider improvements and be a missed opportunity to improve the amenity, recreation space and biodiversity of this area of the park.

7 Financial implications and risks:

As per section 6, if this decision is not approved, the overall cost of the project will continue to rise until a contractor is appointed and there is potential to miss the start date for the project. This would mean delay to the project spend, which is mainly corporate borrowing that is allocated to this current financial year (22/23).

By approving this decision the Council can take advantage of the TMC to work with SWH while finalising the design for construction and ground investigations, in order to identify and reduce financial risk, whereas carrying out a formal tender without a final construction design would carry high risk of suppliers quoting inflated costs to cover unknown elements or putting suppliers off tendering altogether.

8	8 Is the decision a Key Decision? (please contact Democratic Support for further advice)	Yes	No	Per the Constitution, a key decision is one which:
			X	in the case of capital projects and contract awards, results in a new commitment to spend and/or save in excess of £3million in total
			X	in the case of revenue projects when the decision involves entering into new commitments and/or making new savings in excess of £1 million
			X	is significant in terms of its effect on communities living or working in an area comprising two or more wards in the area of the local authority.
8b	If yes, date of publication of the	N/a		

Please specify how this decision is linked to the Council's corporate plan/Plymouth Plan and/or the policy framework and/or the

revenue/capital budget:

Decisions

notice in the Forward Plan of Key

Growing: The delivery of the this project as part of the Central Park Masterplan will directly support the Council's vision for the City, supporting its ability to deliver growth by providing high quality and accessible green infrastructure to meet both the current and anticipated future recreational and leisure needs of Plymouth.

<u>Caring</u>: The new approach to collaborative working to achieve the enhancements will empower communities to be involved in decision making and the delivery of the improvements. The health benefits of accessing the natural environment are well documented. The project will build on existing work to remove physical and perceptual barriers to accessing natural space and will encourage community members to become more actively involved in their local site. Opportunities that can support social cohesion will be explored through the project delivery.

<u>Confident</u>: The project provides an opportunity to focus the delivery of the Parks enhancement in line with One City Council aspirations. Improvements to the overall site will support individuals, community groups and visitors to the city to engage in physical activity, play and build social

			networ	ks.						
				Delivers JLP Policy PLY 19 Central Park - Strategic Green Space Site: 2. Enhancing existing and creating new facilities that develop the park's role as a premier park for the city and region whilst being sensitive to the park's natural and historic features.						
				Meets Strategic Objective 4 of Plymouth Plan: 'Delivering a Healthy City' (5) health-promoting natural and built environments, community facilities and public spaces and accessible local services.						
				Delivers Plymouth Plan Policy 14: 'Optimising the health and wellbeing benefits of the natural environment'.						
			` '		quality outdoor facilities that encourage te in sport and active recreation					
				Supports delivery of Plymouth Plan DEV29: 'to protect and support a diverse and multi-functional network of green space (including play space).						
10	Please specify any direct environmental implications of the decision (carbon impact)			The project aims to have a minimum impact on carbon emissions. The proposal is a nature based solution that uses natural features to manage water and prevent flooding, minimizing the carbon impact that a hard engineered solution has.						
Urge	ent decisions									
11	Is the decision urgent and to be implemented immediately in the interests of the Council or the		Y es		(If yes, please contact <u>Democratic</u> <u>Support</u> for advice)					
	public?	1	No	×	(If no, go to section 13a)					
I2a	I 2a Reason for urgency:									
I2b	Scrutiny Chair signature:			Date						
	Scrutiny Committee name:									
	Print Name:									
Cons	sultation									
13a	Are any other Cabinet members' portfolios affected by the decision?		Yes	X	Planning: Councillor Dr John Mahony					
			No		(If no go to section 14)					
I3b	Which other Cabinet member's portfolio is affected by the decis		N/a	a						

I3c	Date	Cabinet member consulted	31 January 2022									
14	Has any Cabinet member declared a conflict of interest in relation to the decision?		Yes			If yes, please discuss with the Monitoring Officer						
			No	x		8 - 2						
15	Which Corporate Management		Name			Anthony Payne						
	Team member has been consulted?			Job title			Strategic Director for Place					
			Date consulted			3 May	y 2022					
Sign	-off											
Sign off codes from the relevant departments consulted:				Democratic Support (mandatory)						DS146 21/22		
				ce (ma	andator	у)		pl.22	2.23.12.			
				(man	datory)			MS/0	MS/09.05.22			
				ın Res	ources ((if ap	plicabl	le)				
			Corporate property (if applicable)									
				Procurement (if applicable)					SN/PS/626/ED/0522			
Арр	endic	es										
17	Ref.	Title of appendix										
	A Briefing report for publication											
	В	Equalities Impact Assessment										
Conf	fident	ial/exempt information										
18a	Do you need to include any confidential/exempt information?				briefing	yes, prepare a second, confidential ('P iefing report and indicate why it is no iblication by virtue of Part Tof Schedu						
			No	x	of the L			ment Ac	nt Act 1972 by ticking			
			Exemption Paragraph Number									
			I	2			4	5	6	7		
I8b	Conf	idential/exempt briefing report										

19 Please list all unpublished, background papers relevant to the decision in the table below.

Background papers are <u>unpublished</u> works, relied on to a material extent in preparing the report, which disclose facts or matters on which the report or an important part of the work is based. If some/all of the information is confidential, you must indicate why it is not for publication by virtue of Part I of Schedule I2A of the Local Government Act 1972 by ticking the relevant box.

Title of background paper(s)		Exemption Paragraph Number					
	ı	2	3	4	5	6	7

Council Officer Signature

I agree the decision and confirm that it is not contrary to the Council's policy and budget framework, Corporate Plan or Budget. In taking this decision I have given due regard to the Council's duty to promote equality of opportunity, eliminate unlawful discrimination and promote good relations between people who share protected characteristics under the Equalities Act and those who do not. For further details please see the EIA attached.

Signature	Jan Jan	Date of decision	17.5.22
Print Name	Paul Barnard		